Notes for completing the assessment grid for the technical evaluation of tenders (CV + price)

Weighting	New standard weighting in %	min./max. weighting in %			
Qualifications of proposed staff	70	70			
Price	30	30			

1. The grid is to be adapted to each individual case. In so doing, any necessary sub-criteria should be added as further entries in the **yellow highlighted** fields. Criteria that are not relevant can be removed from the weighting (set value as zero).

2. Tenders with 500 points or fewer are eliminated from the competitve tender.

Notes for completing the assessment grid for the technical evaluation of tenders (CV, concept + price)

Weighting	New standard weighting in %	min./max. weighting in %			
1 Appropriateness of suggested concept and of the work plan	35	35			
2 Qualifications of proposed staff	35	35			
3 Price	30	30			

1. The grid is to be adapted to each individual case. In so doing, any necessary sub-criteria should be added as further entries in the **yellow highlighted** fields. Criteria that are not relevant can be removed from the weighting (set value as zero).

2. Tenders with 500 points or fewer are eliminated from the competitive tender.

Assessment grid for the technical evaluation of tenders for small-scale contracts (CV + price)

Organisational unit Officer responsible for the commission Assessor	Proje	ct title	GIZ BMM						Date PN			19.04.2023 21.2311.5.005.00		
Assessor		Entert	enderer 1	derer 1 Enter tenderer 2 Enter tenderer 3 Enter ten						Contract no.			Enter tenderer 6	
(1) Criterion	(2) Weighting in %	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	(3) Points (max.10)	(4) Assessment (2)x(3)	
1. Qualifications of proposed staff (according to rule	s and criteria in th			(((=).(=)	(((
1.1 Expert 1:														
1.1.1 General qualifications			İ				ĺ		ĺ		Ì	1	İ	
- Education														
- Professional experience														
1.1.2 Experience in the region/knowledge of the country														
1.1.3 Language skills														
French														
Subtotal for 1.1	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
1.2 Expert 2:														
1.2.1 General qualifications														
- Education	0													
- Professional experience	0													
1.2.2 Experience in the region/knowledge of the country	0													
1.2.3 Language skills														
Enter languages	0													
Subtotal for 1.2	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Total for technical assessment	0		0		0		0		0		0		0	
Technical assessment in %			0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	
3. Total for financial assessment														
Overall assessment in %														
= (assessment score for qualifications of staff - highes	t score for qualifica	tions of staff) x	70 % + (lowest fir	nancial bid - te	nderer's financia	l bid) x 30 %								
Specific advantages/risks (see supplementary sheet)														
Ra	ank													

I hereby declare that I have carried out this assessment independently and to the best of my knowledge and belief. I will keep the data confidential and will not pass on any information regarding the current assessment procedure.

Date, full first and last name, function, OU



Assessment grid for the technical evaluation of tenders for small-scale contracts (CV, concept + price)

Technical assessment 70 0 0 0 0 0 0	Office	isational unit r responsible for the ission	Projec	Project title GIZ BMM						Date PN			11.02.2025 21.2311.5.005.00		
1 Call Ca	Asses	Assessor Contract no.													
Criticion Weighting (max.10) Assessment (max.10) Points (2)x(3) 11.1 Corrent in the region/flow/deg of the county 10 I				Enter tenderer 1		Enter tenderer 2		Enter tenderer 3		Enter tenderer 4		Enter tenderer 5		Enter tenderer 6	
1. Califications or poposed staff (as per the cules and event of			Weighting	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment	Points	Assessment
1.1.1 General qualifications Image: solution of the county himage: county<="" of="" solution="" td="" the="" tht<=""><td>1.</td><td>Qualifications of proposed staff (as per the rules and</td><td>criteria in the T</td><td>erms of refer</td><td>ence)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thimage:>	1.	Qualifications of proposed staff (as per the rules and	criteria in the T	erms of refer	ence)										
1.1.1 Concert qualifications Image: Site Site Site Site Site Site Site Site	1.1	Expert 1:				1									
- Professional experience 10 Image with a sequent model of the country 10 <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td></td> <td></td>		•			1						1				
11.12 Experience in the region/knowledge of the country 10 Image wills	-	•	10										Ì		
11.12 Experience in the region/knowledge of the country 10 Image wills		- Professional experience	10										Ì		
1.1 Language skill 5 0	1.1.2												1		
Subtrait for 1.1 35 0	1.1.3	Language skills													
1.2 Expert 2: Image: Constraint of the region (Another equility) and (Constraint equility) and (Constraint equility) and (Constraint equility) and (Constraint equility) and (Constraint equility) and (Constraint equility) anod (Constraint equility) and (Constraint equili		French	5										ĺ		
1.21 General qualifications 0<	Subto	otal for 1.1	35		0		0		0		0		C		0
- Education 0 <th< td=""><td>1.2</td><td>Expert 2:</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	1.2	Expert 2:													
- Professional experience 0 <td>1.2.1</td> <td>General qualifications</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Ì</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>	1.2.1	General qualifications			1						Ì		1		
1.2 Experience in the region/knowledge of the country 0		- Education	0												
1.2.3 Language skills Image of the long set in th		- Professional experience	0		1										
Enter languages 0	1.2.2	Experience in the region/knowledge of the country	0												
Subtotal for 1.2 0	1.2.3	Language skills			Ì						Ì		1		
Total for section 1 35 0		Enter languages	0												
2. Appropriateness of the proposed concept (according to the rules and criteria in the Terms of Reference) 2.1 proven expertise in the implementation of similar projects 15 <td>Subto</td> <td>otal for 1.2</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>C</td> <td></td> <td>0</td>	Subto	otal for 1.2	0		0		0		0		0		C		0
2.1 proven expertise in the implementation of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of similar projects 15 1 15 15 15 1 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1 15 15 15 </td <td>Total</td> <td>for section 1</td> <td>35</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>C</td> <td></td> <td>0</td>	Total	for section 1	35		0		0		0		0		C		0
2.1 proven expertise in the implementation of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 15 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 16 Image: constraint of the implementation of similar projects 16 1	2.	Appropriateness of the proposed concept (according	to the rules an	d criteria in th	ne Terms of Refe	erence)			•				•		
2.2 Clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 10 Image: clear strategy for the long-term durability and 1			1		1	<i>·</i>			1						
2.3 plans to train local technicians or immigration staff in the 10															
2.4. Image: Constraint of the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff :															
2.5 Price Image: Constraint of the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for the concept / highest score for the concept / highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qu			10										1		
Total for section 2 35 0		Price											1		
Technical assessment 70 0			35		0		n		0		0		0		0
Technical assessment in % 0.00%			70				•		•				0		0
3. Total for financial assessment Image: Constraint of the concept / highest score for the concept / x 35 % + (assessment score for qualifications of staff) x 35 % + (lowest financial bid) x 30 %					0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0,00%		0.00%
Overall assessment in % Image: Concept / highest score for the concept / x 35 % + (assessment score for qualifications of staff) x 35 % + (lowest financial bid) x 30 %	3.				0.0070		0.0078		0.3070		0.0078		0.007		0.0070
= (assessment score for the concept / highest score for the concept) x 35 % + (assessment score for qualifications of staff : highest score for qualifications of staff) x 35 % + (lowest financial bid - tenderer's financial bid) x 30 %															
			e concept) x 35	% + (assessn	nent score for au	alifications of st	aff : highest score	e for qualificatio	ons of staff) x 35 °	% + (lowest fina	ancial bid - tender	er's financial bi	d) x 30 %		
				(que					(,		
Rank															

Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH

I hereby declare that I have carried out this assessment independently and to the best of my knowledge and belief. I will keep the data confidential and will not pass on any information regarding the current assessment procedure.

Date, full first and last name, function, OU